

Code of Conduct Policy & Enforcement Updates

Whereas, the Student Senate for California Community Colleges (SSCCC) senators are elected to represent the two-million plus community college students across ten regions and 112 colleges at the state level,

Whereas, every senator of the Student Senate for California Community Colleges signs the Student Senate for California Community Colleges code of conduct along with the California Community College Chancellors Office's code of conduct, and in both of the codes of conducts they both support the basic philosophy of reasonable conduct at state events, and the California Community College Chancellors Office's code of conduct also serves to protect the California Community College Chancellors Office and the Board of Governors from criticism or financial liability from inappropriate conduct by members of the Student Senate for California Community Colleges,

Whereas, the California Community College Chancellors Office's code of conduct that has been signed by all members of the Student Senate for California Community Colleges in order to be part of the council, States in clear detail that the persons of the organization will not engage in the following actions, "(a) Consumption of alcohol or possession of related paraphernalia at Chancellor's Office sponsored Student Senate events, (b) Possession or use of illegal drugs or related paraphernalia, (c) Possession of any firearm, illegal knife, explosive or other weapon, (d) Assault, or deliberate physical injury to another person, (e) Sexual harassment, (f) Violation of hotel rules and/or policies, (g) Disruptive behavior, or the open and persistent defiance or persistent verbal abuse of responsible college personnel or liaisons, (h) Discrimination against any individual on the basis of race, ethnicity, age, gender, gender identity, sexual orientation, physical and/or mental disability, or political ideologies" in the code of conduct policy revised 6/14,

Whereas, the Student Senate for California Community Colleges adopted policy 6600: Code of Conduct Violation Procedures which puts alcohol in a class I action which is not punishable with removal, and also excludes regions in the removal process to any capacity,

Resolved, that Student Senate for California Community Colleges code of conduct will be updated to add section 2.E, "**E. Prohibited Actions:** (a) Consumption of alcohol or possession of related paraphernalia at Student Senate for California sponsored events, (b) Possession or use of illegal drugs or related paraphernalia, (c) Possession of any firearm, illegal knife, explosive or other weapon, (d) Assault, or deliberate physical injury to another person, (e) Sexual harassment, (f) Violation of hotel rules and/or policies, (g) Disruptive behavior, or the open and persistent defiance or persistent verbal abuse of responsible college personnel or liaisons, (h) Discrimination against any individual on the basis of race, ethnicity, age, gender, gender identity, sexual orientation, physical and/or mental disability, or political ideologies", which will ensure the highest professionalism for the two million plus college students represented by the Student Senate for California Community Colleges,

Resolved, that the policy enforcements will move alcohol consumption to a class II action, from a class I, which will make the classifications of acts stated in subsection B.1.E of policy 6600 read "**Class I Offenses:** Violating host policy, Noise complaint, Class II Offenses: Alcohol use or possession, Illegal Drugs, Sexual Harassment, Hazing, Bullying, Lewd behavior, Hate Speech, Inappropriate use of funds" instead of the current language that reads "**Class I Offenses:**

Violating host policy, Alcohol use, Noise complaint, **Class II Offenses: Illegal Drugs, Sexual Harassment, Hazing, Bullying, Lewd behavior, Hate Speech, Inappropriate use of funds**

Resolved, that the respective regions need to be involved in the removal of one of their own representatives, so in order to involve the respective regions in the removal of their own senators, so there for C2b of needs to be changed to “The ad hoc appeals committee shall be comprised of six members including the ad-hoc chair, the respective region chair, but in the case that the regional chair would have a conflict of interest; as decided by the respective region, at the next scheduled meeting, someone that the region elects will be appointed instead, and may not include any members involved in the original decision to authorize the class II action that is being challenged.” instead of the current language that is “The ad hoc appeals committee shall be comprised of six members including the chair and may not include any members involved in the original decision to authorize the class II action that is being challenged.” which will ensure that no prejudice is taken against any region.